



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/528,833

06/16/2006

David Durantel

P08599US00/BAS

3759

881 7590 05/11/2010

STITES & HARBISON PLLC
1199 NORTH FAIRFAX STREET
SUITE 900
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER

WOOLWINE, SAMUEL C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1637

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/11/2010

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/528,833	Applicant(s) DURANTEL ET AL.	
	Examiner SAMUEL C. WOOLWINE	Art Unit 1637	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 October 2009 and 28 January 2010.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-28 and 31-78 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 31-36 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-28 and 37-78 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 9, 10, 14, 16, 52, 53, 57 and 59 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 22 December 2008 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Status

Applicant's reply submitted 10/23/2009 is acknowledged.

Claims 1-28 and 31-78 are pending in the application. Claims 31-36 remain withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 06/03/2008.

The Sequence Compliance issues raised in the Office action mailed 04/24/2009 (OA 04/24/09) are resolved by Applicant's submission of revised sequence listing and amendments to the specification. In addition, the sequence issues raised in the notice mailed 12/30/2009 have been corrected by Applicant's submission of a corrected sequence listing on 01/28/2010.

The replacement drawings submitted 10/23/2009 for figures 1-4 are accepted; the objections to the drawings raised in OA 04/24/09 are withdrawn. The objections to the claims for reciting non-elected SEQ ID NOs raised in OA 04/24/09 are withdrawn; this objection was made in error.

The rejections made under 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph in OA 04/24/2009 are withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendments.

Claims 1-28 and 37-78 require one or both of primers SEQ ID NO:17 and SEQ ID NO:18. These primer sequences are free of the prior art. Each of these primers was designed by Applicant from known HBV sequence, and then altered so as to create a restriction site (SphI or Sall) internally in the primer (see pages 13-14 of the

Art Unit: 1637

specification as filed). That is, the first 6 or so nucleotides and last 19 or so nucleotides match HBV genomic sequence, with the 5 or so nucleotides in-between altered from the HBV sequence so as to create the restriction site for the purpose of cloning the resulting fragment into a vector. While it was known in the art to add a restriction site to the 5' end of a primer to facilitate cloning of the resulting PCR product, and while it was also known in the art to add one or more additional bases at the 5' end to facilitate the cutting of the PCR product by the restriction enzyme, there was no apparent reason why one would have created such a site internally within a 30-mer sequence instead of just adding the restriction enzyme site (and additional arbitrary bases to aid in cutting by the restriction enzyme) at the 5' end. That is, the inclusion of CTAAGG at the 5' end of SEQ ID NOs 17 and 18 was not obvious.

Nevertheless, while the claims have been found free of the art, the examiner has set forth some new rejections under 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph below, as well as reiterated an earlier objection to a drawing that was not clearly addressed. While, ordinarily, the examiner would attempt to resolve the section 112 issues by telephonic interview and examiner amendment, the examiner cannot resolve the problem with the drawings. Therefore, this Office action will be mailed and is NON-FINAL.

Drawings

New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because the replacement for figure 7, submitted on 12/22/2008, is not legible. First, the text is too small. See 37 CFR 1.84(p)(3): "Numbers, letters, and reference characters must measure at least .32 cm. (1 /8 inch) in height." Second, the figure

Art Unit: 1637

legend for the graph appears to indicate 5 data series (plotted lines), but only 3 are visible in the graph. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

Claims 9, 10, 14, 16, 52, 53, 57 and 59 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. These claims differ from the claims from which they depend (claims 8, 5, 11, 15, 51, 48, 54 and 58, respectively) only in specifying that the forward/reverse primer of the first/second primer pair comprises a sequence selected from particular SEQ ID NOs. However, all these claims depend ultimately from claim 1, which already specifies these limitations (i.e. that the forward/reverse primer of the first/second primer pair comprises a sequence selected from these particular SEQ ID NOs). Hence, claims 9, 10, 14, 16, 52, 53, 57 and 59 are not seen as further limiting.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-28 and 37-78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 (steps a and b) recites the phrase "transcriptable in pgRNA". It is vague and indefinite what this phrase means. The examiner presumes that it means "capable of being transcribed to produce HBV pgRNA", and such language would be more favorably considered. As all claims ultimately depend from claim 1, they are rejected for the same reason.

In addition, claims 2 and 45 recite "an about 1 genome unit starting in 5' from...". It is unclear what "in 5' from" means. The language "5' of" would be more favorably considered. Likewise, in the phrase "to the first nucleotide in 5' of the ATG...", the meaning of "in 5' of" is unclear. The language "5' of" would be more favorably considered. In addition, reference to "the ATG of the pre-C" gene is vague and indefinite, since it is not specified *which* ATG of the pre-C gene is intended. Presumably "the ATG start codon of the pre-C gene" is intended, and this language would be more favorably considered.

With regard to claims 3, 4, 46 and 47, the claims are vague and indefinite because the claim requires one to know the identity of nucleotides 1818-1813 and 1814-1960 (in the case of claims 3 and 46) or nucleotides 1816-1813 and 1814-2016 (in the case of claims 4 and 47) of an undisclosed HBV genomic sequence. Based on the "alignment" language, Applicant apparently intends to indicate the regions corresponding to those in the GenBank sequence. However, the language of the claim clearly indicates particular nucleotide sequences of "an HBV genomic sequence", not those of the GenBank sequence. The problem is that, when one aligns an HBV genome sequence with the GenBank sequence, the positions may not correspond

Art Unit: 1637

numerically. For example, a particular (perhaps newly discovered) HBV sequence may be longer or shorter than the GenBank sequence, and so position 1818 of the "new" HBV sequence may not be lined up with position 1818 of the GenBank sequence when the two sequences are aligned. This is important because Applicant's method is based on amplifying two fragments of the HBV genome, with each fragment including or excluding certain features (e.g. transcription start site, ATG start codon of pre-C gene, etc). The examiner would propose the following language (for example, for claim 3): "The method according to claim 2, wherein the continuous DNA sequence comprises from 5' to 3' nucleotides homologous to nucleotides 1818 to 1813 and 1814-1960 of the HBV sequence set forth in GenBank GI Number 13365548." It is believed that this is what Applicant intended to convey.

Likewise, in claims 6 and 49, reference to "the ATG of the pre-C" gene is vague and indefinite, since it is not specified *which* ATG of the pre-C gene is intended. Presumably "the ATG start codon of the pre-C gene" is intended, and this language would be more favorably considered.

In addition, in claims 11 and 54, the phrase "in 5' with respect to" is unclear. The language "5' with respect to" would be more favorably considered.

In addition, in claims 15 and 58, the phrase "in 3' of" is unclear. The language "3' of" would be more favorably considered.

Claims 20 and 63 recite that the "heterologous promoter is fused to the +1 of transcription of the HBV fragment", referring back to claim 1 (or 43, which itself depends from claim 1). However, claim 1 discloses two HBV fragments, so it is unclear which

Art Unit: 1637

fragment is being referred to in claim 20. Presumably, Applicant means that the heterologous promoter "is fused to the +1 of transcription of the HBV pgRNA encoded by the linear continuous DNA sequence", and such language would be more favorably considered.

With regard to claim 42, the phrase "determination of the incidence of the pharmaceutical product on viral gene expression and/or replication" is unclear, since the term "incidence" in this context is confusing. The term "effect", as opposed to "incidence", would be more favorably considered.

Conclusion

It is expected that rectification of the issues presented above will place the application in condition for allowance.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SAMUEL C. WOOLWINE whose telephone number is (571)272-1144. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on (571) 272-0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1637

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Samuel Woolwine/
Examiner, Art Unit 1637